

Public Document Pack

WINDSOR AND ASCOT DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

Thursday 4 January 2024

Present: Councillors Amy Tisi (Chair), Mark Wilson (Vice-Chair), David Buckley, Alison Carpenter, Carole Da Costa, Devon Davies, Sayonara Luxton, Julian Sharpe and Julian Tisi

Officers in attendance: Mikey Lloyd, Jo Richards and Claire Pugh

Officers in attendance virtually: Anthony Lenaghan

Also in attendance: Councillor Lynne Jones and Councillor Neil Knowles

23/02167/FULL - The Walled Garden Frogmore Windsor

(Not for publication by virtue of Paragraph 1, 3, 6a, 6b of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972)

A motion was proposed by Councillor Buckley to refuse planning permission due to inappropriate development to green belt and it would harm openness of green belt and there are not considered to be very special circumstances that clearly outweigh harm to green belt and other harm Identified. The proposal would result in less than substantial harm to the designated heritage asset and there are no public benefits which override this harm. The proposal is of poor design and has harmful impact of character of the area. This was seconded by Councillor Da Costa.

A named vote was taken.

23/02167FULL – The Walled Garden Frogmore Windsor (Motion)	
Councillor Amy Tisi	For
Councillor Mark Wilson	For
Councillor David Buckley	For
Councillor Alison Carpenter	For
Councillor Carole Da Costa	For
Councillor Devon Davies	For
Councillor Sayonara Luxton	Abstain
Councillor Julian Sharpe	Abstain
Councillor Julian Tisi	For
Carried	

AGREED: The motion to refuse planning permission carried due to inappropriate development to green belt and it would harm openness of green belt and there are not considered to be very special circumstances that clearly outweigh harm to green belt and other harm Identified. The proposal would result in less than substantial harm to the designated heritage asset and there are no public benefits which override this harm. The proposal is of poor design and has harmful impact of character of the area, with seven voting in favour and two abstaining from the vote.

The meeting, which began at 7.02 pm, finished at 8.47 pm

Chair.....

Date.....

This page is intentionally left blank